

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,383	POLLOCK, DAVID C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr McClung.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

claim 72

Prior art documents discussed:

see Reason's for Allowance

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Cancel non-elected claim 72. Applicant renumbered the claims in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 in the amendment dated 19 Oct 2006. This amendment is considered to comply with 37 CFR 1.121.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

THOMAS M. LITHGOW

(Examiner/SP's Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)